

Forest Service

Caribou-Targhee National Forest HQ

1405 Hollipark Drive Idaho Falls, ID 83401 208-529-1020 FAX: 208-557-5827

File Code: 2160

Date: March 21, 2017

Alan Prouty
Vice President,
Environmental & Regulatory Affairs
J.R. Simplot Company
P.O. Box 27- 83707
1099 W. Front Street

UPS: 1ZE273430394482735

US EPA

MAR 2 8 2017

IDAHO OPERATIONS OFFICE

RE: Agencies' Comments on the Response to Additional Comments on the Smoky Canyon Mine RI/FS Draft Phase 2 Pilot Study Work Plan and Sampling and Analysis Plan Ultra-Filtration/Reverse Osmosis and Biological Selenium Removal Fluidized Bed Bioreactor Treatment Technology

Dear Alan,

Boise, ID 83702

The Agencies have reviewed Simplot's Response to Comments (RTCs) on the Additional Agencies' Comments for Smoky Canyon Mine RI/FS Draft Phase 2 Pilot Study Work Plan and Sampling and Analysis Plan Ultra-Filtration/Reverse Osmosis and Biological Selenium Removal Fluidized Bed Bioreactor Treatment Technology.

The Agencies' response to Simplot's RTCs (dated March 3, 2017) are attached.

Please respond to these comments and submit a final document by April 28, 2017.

If you have any questions, please contact me at 208-236-7572.

Sincerely,

ARTHUR BURBANK Remedial Project Manager

Enclosure

cc: Jeffery Hamilton; Simplot, Pocatello
Ron Quinn; Simplot, Smoky Canyon Mine
Burl Ackerman; Simplot, Boise
Fred Charles; Formation Environmental, Boulder
Sandi Fisher; USFWS, Pocatello
Colleen O'Hara; BLM, Pocatello

within & But ont

Brady Johnson; IDEQ, State Office Wayne Crowther; IDEQ, Pocatello Matt Wilkening, EPA Kelly Wright; Shoshone-Bannock Tribes, Fort Hall Susan Hanson; Shoshone-Bannock Tribes, Fort Hall Rick McCormick; CH2M Hill, Boise





Agencies' Response to Simplot RTCs on Agencies Additional Comments – Smoky Canyon Mine RI/FS Draft Phase 2 Pilot Study WP and SAP Ultra-Filtration/Reverse Osmosis and Biological Selenium Removal Fluidized Bed Bioreactor Treatment Technology

Specific Comments:

- AC-1 Based on the response, it appears that the system would meet the goal of < = 7 ug/l. However, the assumptions used in making this determination are based on the higher end of the efficiencies observed during the prior testing, and are using average concentrations in the calculations. This does not present the most conservative approach. It is recommended that in periods with concentrations nearer to the maximum levels the system be closely monitored to see if additional dilution is required from RO treated water.
- AC-3 It is recommended that Appendix D to the Work Plan be updated as well to insure the two documents do not contain conflicting information.